## Exhibit C

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

US AIRWAYS, INC., FOR AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. AS SUCCESSOR AND REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

Plaintiff,

v.

SABRE HOLDINGS CORP., SABRE GLBL INC., and SABRE TRAVEL INT'L LTD.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:11-cv-02725-LGS

ECF Case

US AIRWAYS' PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

## **VERDICT FORM**

I.	Monopolization Claim <sup>1</sup>		
	Question 1		
mainta	Has US Airways proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Sabre willfully ained monopoly power through exclusionary conduct in the relevant market?		
	Yes No		
	If you answered "Yes," proceed to Question 2. If you answered "No," proceed to Question 4.		
	Question 2		
result	Has US Airways proved, by a preponderance of toult of Sabre's exclusionary conduct?	he evidence, that it was harmed as a	
	Yes No		
	If you answered "Yes," proceed to Question 3. If you answered "No," proceed to Question 4.		
	Question 3		
as a re	Please state the amount that will fairly compensate a result of Sabre's exclusionary conduct.	te US Airways for any harm it sustained	
	\$		
	Proceed to Question 4.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the monopolization claim, US Airways' proposed questions track the simplicity, wording, and number of questions the Court approved for US Airways' contract restraints claim in the verdict form for the 2016 trial, ECF No. 720, which will help to avoid juror confusion. The parties also dispute the order in which the claims should be presented in the verdict form, statement of the case, and jury instructions. Because US Airways plans to focus its trial presentation on its monopolization claim, US Airways believes it will be clearer to the jury to present the questions for that claim first.

II.	Contract Restraints Claim <sup>2</sup>			
	Question 4			
restrai	Has US Airways proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Sabre unreasonably ned trade in the relevant market by means of the challenged contract provisions?			
	Yes	No		
	If you answered "Yes," proceed to Question 5. If you answered "No," proceed to the end.			
	Question 5			
result (	Has US Airways proved, by a of Sabre's unreasonable restra	a preponderance of the evidence, that it was harmed as a int of trade?		
	Yes	No		
	If you answered "Yes," proceed to Question 6. If you answered "No," proceed to the end.			
	Question 6			
as a re	Please state the amount that value of Sabre's unreasonable re	vill fairly compensate US Airways for any harm it sustained estraint of trade.		
	\$	_		
Please	sign this verdict sheet and inf	form the court deputy that you have reached a verdict.		

\* \* \*

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  US Airways' questions for the contract restraints claim closely track those used by the Court in the verdict form for the 2016 trial. *See* ECF No. 720.